

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 212835US2PCT 09/926,041 08/20/2001 MIchio Sato 5256 22850 7590 06/27/2003 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. **EXAMINER** 1940 DUKE STREET MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1753 DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | <u> </u> |
|--|--------------------|--|----------|
| Advisory Action | Application No. | Applicant(s) | / |
| | 09/926,041 | SATO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| اس، | Rodney G. McDonald | 1753 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7.18-20,24 and 25</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | |
| | | Rodney G. McDona Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753 | ld |

مد بر

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While the Rv and Rp measurement is recognized as different from the ten point mean roughness Rz the Examiner believes that Rv and Rp is in the range of Kazuyoshi et al. ten point mean roughness Rz. The ten point mean roughness is a measure of ten points-of-roughness which could include Rv-and Rp. Rv and Rp from JIS B 0601-1994 cited in the record by applicant is indicative of the maximum height. (i.e. from JIS Ry= Rv + Rp) The ten point mean roughness is a measure of the highest peak profiles which would necessarily include Rz and Rp values which are the maximum highest peak profiles available. Since Rz ranges from 5 to 200 microns Rz encompasses values of Rzand Rp from 20 to 70 microns.